UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,921	11/04/2005	Frederick Leslie Brown	CUNANT 1716US	4319
20210 DAVIS & BUJ	7590 04/05/201 <sup>1</sup> OLD, P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
112 PLEASAN	T STREET	WILLIAMS, MAURICE L		
CONCORD, NH 03301			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/555,921	BROWN, FREDERICK LESLIE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MAURICE WILLIAMS	3611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> .  2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    Th  3)    Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 13-16 and 20-27 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5)  Claim(s) 13-16 and 20-27 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific action. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ecepted or b) objected to by the E e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/555,921 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13-16, 20 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown (US 2002/0050416) in view of Callan (US 2003/0132038). Brown discloses:

A lift truck body (20) with a pair of rear wheels (18);

a lift mechanism (28, 30) connected to body by an arm (Fig. 1-2; between body 20 and pivot hinge 32) on a vertically extending pivot (34) at the remote end of the arm; a steering means (24);

wherein the lift mechanism is pivoted at a steering angle of substantially 90° (Fig. 5).

The front wheels (36, 38) are positioned forward of the pivot connection, and as far as possible toward the load bearing front forks (Fig. 1).

The front wheels are driven by hydraulic motors (54), which are on the hub of the wheels (Fig. 3).

The motors are driven by an internal combustion engine (48).

Brown does not directly disclose a single front wheel. However, Callan discloses a fork lift truck assembly with two rear wheels (24, 25) and a single front wheel (23); wherein the rear wheels are undriven (¶ 0028, In. 10-14 states that the wheels may be undriven-

therefore the drive means is only provided to the front wheel). Fig. 3 of Brown shows the front wheels pivot about the same vertical axis. It have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Brown as taught by Callan by placing the single front wheel on the vertical axis, in order to decrease the turning radius of the front section of the vehicle, thereby enabling it to maneuver around tight corners (by placing the front wheel in the middle pivot portion disclosed in Brown, the front assembly would still be allowed to roll, or pivot up and down on either end, as provided in ¶ 0037 of Brown).

3. Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown in view of Callan as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Hagin et al. (US 4,778,020). Brown and Callan disclose as discussed above, but do not directly disclose a gearbox. Hagin discloses a gearbox (3) connecting the motor to the wheels. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Brown as taught by Callan and Hagin in order to provide a well-known means of power transfer between the motor and wheel.

## Remarks

4. As discussed in the interview conducted March 4, 2010, the previous rejections of claims 26 and 27 are withdrawn. However, as provided above, all claims now stand rejected in view of Brown and Callan.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAURICE WILLIAMS whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/555,921 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

(571)272-4263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 a.m. - 5

p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Maurice Williams/

Examiner, Art Unit 3611

Maurice Williams

Examiner

Art Unit 3611

MLW

March 22, 2010

/LESLEY D MORRIS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3611